

A Third-Quantized Approach to the Large-N Field Models

V.P.Maslov and O.Yu.Shvedov

*Sub-Department of Quantum Statistics and Field Theory,
Department of Physics, Moscow State University,
119899, Vorobievsky Gory, Moscow, Russia*

July, 16, 1998

hep-th/9807134

Talk presented at the International Conference
“Problems of Quantum Field Theory - 98”,
Dubna, Russia, July, 13-17, 1998

Abstract

Large-N field systems are considered from an unusual point of view. The Hamiltonian is presented in a third-quantized form analogously to the second-quantized formulation of the quantum theory of many particles. The semiclassical approximation is applied to the third-quantized Hamiltonian. The advantages of this approach in comparison with $1/N$ -expansion are discussed.

Different physical systems are described by different mathematical equations. Classical mechanics is formulated in terms of ordinary differential equations on a finite time-dependent set of quantities. Quantum mechanics, classical field and string theories are associated with partial differential equations on a function of a finite number of arguments. Quantum field theory and “first-quantized” string theory are related with the Schrodinger equation on the functional.

Each subsequent type of systems (equations) is in some sense more complicated than the previous one. For example, ordinary differential equations are much more suitable for numerical analysis than partial differential equations, while functional Schrodinger equation is too complicated for direct numerical analysis. One can talk then about classical, first-quantized and second-quantized systems. There is a correspondence between these types: one can perform quantization procedure, as well as apply semiclassical approximation (asymptotic methods) for these systems (equations).

⁰e-mail: olshv@ms2.inr.ac.ru, shvedov@qs.phys.msu.su

The notion of third quantization naturally appears in quantum cosmology [1] in investigation of the wormhole transitions, since the number of universes can be variable. The relationship between such a multi-universe system and ordinary quantum field theory is the same as the correspondence between quantum and classical field theory, classical and quantum mechanics. An analogous situation also arises in the field string theory.

Large- N systems are much more complicated than the small- N systems. For example, system of a large number of differential equations may arise as a lattice approximation for the partial differential equation, so that it is a very important result that by the WKB-technique such a system can be reduced to the ordinary differential equation.

Further, quantum mechanics of a large number of particles resembles quantum field theory, since one can introduce creation and annihilation operators and use them in order to reformulate the quantum statistics in terms of quantum field theory. Semiclassical approximation to this theory leads to the self-consistent equations such as Hartree or Vlasov equations, so that large- N quantum mechanics can be reduced to the nonlinear quantum dynamics of one particle described by the Hartree equation.

This talk deals with the development of the analogous approach for the large- N quantum field theory. Analogously to the quantum statistics, one can represent it via operators of creating and annihilating fields and apply the semiclassical approximation. The corresponding classical theory will resemble the quantum-field-theory functional Schrodinger equation but will be nonlinear.

The notion of quasiparticles which can be created and annihilated even in the system of a fixed number of particles plays an important role in quantum statistics, superfluidity and superconductivity theory. It is remarkable that semiclassical equations for the third-quantized large- N theory correspond to the theory with variable number of fields. In this effective theory fields can be created (annihilated) analogously to quasiparticles.

For the simplicity, consider the $O(N)$ -symmetric scalar theory of N fields. States of such a system at fixed moment of time are specified by functionals $\Psi_N[\varphi_1(\cdot), \dots, \varphi_N(\cdot)]$, the inner product is formally written by the functional integral $\int D\varphi_1 \dots D\varphi_N |\Psi_N[\varphi_1(\cdot), \dots, \varphi_N(\cdot)]|^2$, while the Hamiltonian has the form,

$$H_N = \int d\mathbf{x} \sum_{a=1}^N \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta\varphi^a(\mathbf{x})\delta\varphi^a(\mathbf{x})} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla\varphi_a(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi_a(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi_a(\mathbf{x})\varphi_a(\mathbf{x}) \right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \sum_{a,b=1}^N \int d\mathbf{x} \varphi_a(\mathbf{x})\varphi_a(\mathbf{x})\varphi_b(\mathbf{x})\varphi_b(\mathbf{x}). \quad (1)$$

The third-quantized formulation is the following. One should consider the theory of random number of fields instead of the theory of fixed number of fields. The states are

specified by Fock vectors, $\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_0 \\ \Psi_1[\varphi_1(\cdot)] \\ \dots \\ \Psi_N[\varphi_1(\cdot), \dots, \varphi_N(\cdot)] \\ \dots \end{pmatrix}$, while the Hamiltonian has a diagonal form, $\begin{pmatrix} H_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & H_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & H_2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$, since the number of fields is conserved. If one considers a

restriction on states to be symmetric under transpositions,

$\Psi_N[\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_i, \dots, \varphi_j, \dots, \varphi_N] = \Psi_N[\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_j, \dots, \varphi_i, \dots, \varphi_N]$, one will be able to introduce creation (annihilation) operators $A^+[\varphi(\cdot)]$ ($A^-[\varphi(\cdot)]$) transforming the N -field state to the $N + 1$ ($N - 1$) field state as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} (A^+[\varphi(\cdot)]\Psi)_k[\varphi_1(\cdot), \dots, \varphi_k(\cdot)] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{a=1}^k \delta(\varphi(\cdot) - \varphi_a(\cdot)) \times \\ &\quad \times \Psi_{k-1}[\varphi_1(\cdot), \dots, \varphi_{a-1}(\cdot), \varphi_{a+1}(\cdot), \dots, \varphi_k(\cdot)], \\ (A^-[\varphi(\cdot)]\Psi)_{k-1}[\varphi_1(\cdot), \dots, \varphi_{k-1}(\cdot)] &= \sqrt{k} \Psi_k[\varphi(\cdot), \varphi_1(\cdot), \dots, \varphi_{k-1}(\cdot)] \end{aligned}$$

analogously to quantum statistics. The Hamiltonian (1) can be presented as

$$\begin{aligned} H = \int D\varphi A^+[\varphi(\cdot)] \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta\varphi(\mathbf{x})\delta\varphi(\mathbf{x})} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi(\mathbf{x})\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \right) A^-[\varphi(\cdot)] + \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \int D\varphi D\phi \int d\mathbf{x} \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) \phi^2(\mathbf{x}) A^+[\varphi(\cdot)] A^-[\varphi(\cdot)] A^+[\phi(\cdot)] A^-[\phi(\cdot)]. \end{aligned}$$

There are many ways to perform the semiclassical approximation. One of them is to consider the Heisenberg equations of motion for the operators $A^\pm[t, \varphi(\cdot)] = e^{iHt} A^\pm[\varphi(\cdot)] e^{-iHt}$,

$$i\dot{A}^\pm = [A^\pm, H] \quad (2)$$

and substitute them by c -numbers. However, it is not clear if one can use the semiclassical conception since the canonical commutation relations (CCR)

$$[A^\pm[\varphi(\cdot)], A^\pm[\phi(\cdot)]] = 0, [A^-[\varphi(\cdot)], A^+[\phi(\cdot)]] = \delta(\varphi(\cdot) - \phi(\cdot)) \quad (3)$$

do not contain any small parameter. However, one can perform a rescaling

$$A^\pm \sqrt{\varepsilon} = \Phi^\pm \quad (4)$$

which makes the CCR (3) containing ε . On the other hand, Heisenberg equations for $\Phi^\pm$ do not depend on ε , since the right-hand side of eq.(2) consists of a linear in $A^\pm$ part being ε -independent and a cubic term which is proportional to ε . Therefore, by the substitution (4) the small parameter ε is moved from eq.(4) to CCR.

Although the semiclassical approximation is applicable at $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it is not equivalent to the perturbation theory, analogously to the soliton quantization method in QFT which is more general than the perturbation theory [2].

Substituting operators $\Phi^\pm$ by (time-dependent) c -numbers Φ^* and Φ , one obtains the following classical equation,

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t[\varphi(\cdot)] = \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta\varphi(\mathbf{x})\delta\varphi(\mathbf{x})} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x})\nabla\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi(\mathbf{x})\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \right) \Phi_t[\varphi(\cdot)] + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) \int D\phi \phi^2(\mathbf{x}) \Phi_t^*(\phi(\cdot)) \Phi_t(\phi(\cdot)) \Phi_t(\varphi(\cdot)) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which is a nonlinear functional Schrodinger equation corresponding to the *nonlinear quantum* field theory.

To construct the systematic semiclassical theory, consider the following ansatz (cf.[3]),

$$\Psi_\varepsilon^t = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\varepsilon} S^t\right) U_{\Phi^t}^\varepsilon Y^t \quad (6)$$

corresponding to the transformation shifting the creation (annihilation) operators,

$$U_\Phi^\varepsilon = \exp \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int D\phi (\Phi(\varphi(\cdot)) A^+(\varphi(\cdot)) - \Phi^*(\varphi(\cdot)) A^-(\varphi(\cdot))) \right]$$

Substituting the ansatz to the Schrodinger equation, $i\dot{\Psi}_\varepsilon^t = H\Psi_\varepsilon^t$, one obtains the expression for the real number S^t in the order $O(\varepsilon^{-1})$; the classical equation (5) in the order $O(\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ and the following leading approximation for the evolution equation, $i\dot{Y}^t = H_2 Y^t$, corresponding to the quadratic Hamiltonian H_2

$$H_2 = \int D\varphi A^+[\varphi(\cdot)] \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2}{\delta\varphi(\mathbf{x})\delta\varphi(\mathbf{x})} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla\varphi)^2(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{m^2 + (\Phi_t, \varphi^2(\mathbf{x})\Phi_t)}{2} \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) \right) \times \quad (7)$$

$$\times A^-[\varphi(\cdot)] + \frac{1}{4} \int d\mathbf{x} [\int D\varphi \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) (\Phi_t^*[\varphi(\cdot)] A^-[\varphi(\cdot)] + \Phi_t[\varphi(\cdot)] A^+[\varphi(\cdot)])]^2$$

containing the terms of the types A^+A^+ , A^+A^- , A^-A^- . One can notice that the effective time-dependent Hamiltonian (7) allows the processes of creating and annihilating the fields, analogously to the quasiparticle Hamiltonian in statistics.

To construct asymptotic solutions to the N -field equation, one should project expression (6) on the N -field space. Since the amplitude $P_N\Psi$ cannot be exponentially small (otherwise, the constructed approximate solution will be much less than the accuracy), it is necessary to mention that $N = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int D\varphi |\Phi[\varphi(\cdot)]|^2 + O(1)$ (cf.[3]). One can notice that our approach is applicable if $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\varepsilon N \rightarrow \text{const}$ (these are the usual conditions of applicability of the $1/N$ -expansion).

Different semiclassical methods can be applied to our system. For example, one can quantize [4] the periodic solutions of the classical equation (5) of the type $\Phi[t, \varphi(\cdot)] = \Phi[\varphi(\cdot)]e^{-i\Omega t}$. Quantization about periodic solutions is equivalent to the problem of diagonalization of the quadratic Hamiltonian (7), which is reducible to investigation of the variation system for eq.(5). This system is obtained as follows. One should consider the system containing eq.(5) and conjugated equation. Then one substitutes $\Phi^* + \delta\Phi^*$ instead of Φ^* and $\Phi + \delta\Phi$ instead of Φ . The small variations $\delta\Phi^*$ and $\delta\Phi$ are independent, contrary to Φ^* and Φ . The corresponding linearized system for $\delta\Phi^*$ and $\delta\Phi$ which is called as the variation system described the spectrum of quantum fluctuations. More precisely, the solution of the variation system with the following time dependence, $\delta\Phi \sim e^{i(\beta-\Omega)t}$, $\delta\Phi^* \sim e^{i(\beta+\Omega)t}$, is associated with the excitation with energy β .

To remove the UV-divergences, one should consider the regularization, substitute the local field $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ by the cutoffed field $\varphi_\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{y} A_\Lambda(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\varphi(\mathbf{y})$ and consider the limit $A_\Lambda(\mathbf{z}) \rightarrow \delta(\mathbf{z})$ (λ, m are singular) in such a way that renormalized mass and coupling constant should be finite.

Let us compare the developed approach with known approaches to the large- N -expansion.

1. A usual approach is resummation of Feynman bubble graphs, or, equivalently, calculations of the functional integral by using the auxiliary field [5]. However, this approach allows us to consider only small perturbations around vacuum and breaks down if the number of particles n is large, $n \sim N$ [6].
2. There is also a collective-field approach [7] based on the $O(N)$ -symmetric substitution

to the Schrodinger equation. Our approach is more general, since we consider not only $O(N)$ -symmetric states.

3. Averaging the second-quantized Heisenberg equations on fields $\hat{\varphi}_a$, one can obtain the equation which is similar to eq.(5) [8]. However, the semiclassical theory based on eq.(7) cannot be obtained by using this procedure.

The developed approach can be generalized to other models. First of all, one can consider the system of N fields φ_a interacting with the scalar field χ . One can perform the third quantization of the field φ and treat χ as a second-quantized field. The classical phase space consists then of the sets $\{(\chi(\mathbf{x}), \pi(\mathbf{x}), \Psi[\varphi(\cdot)])\}$ of the field χ , momentum π and complex functional $\Psi[\varphi(\cdot)]$. Using this observation, one can investigate the nonsymmetric with respect to transpositions wave functionals: one considers fields $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ as second-quantized fields and $\varphi_{k+1}, \dots, \varphi_N$ as third-quantized fields. Generalizations to the fermi-case is also trivial.

We conclude the talk by the following observations.

1. When one constructs the quantum field theory, one always considers the Hamiltonian to be a linear operator. However, we see that the nonlinear quantum field theory (5) seems to be in agreement with relativistic invariance and other principles of QFT, because it is considered as a corollary of the linear theory of N fields which is beleived to possess all necessary properties.

2. One always considers the quantum field theories of fixed number of fields: the set of elementary particles is fixed and does not depend on the state. However, the Hamiltonian (7) corresponding to variable number of fields should obey all principles of QFT since it is also a corollary af the large- N theory.

References

- [1] S.Giddings and A.Strominger, *Nucl. Phys.* **B321** (1989) 481
- [2] R.Jackiw, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **49** (1977) 681; L.D.Faddeev and V.E.Korepin, *Phys. Rep.***42** (1978) 1
- [3] V.P.Maslov and O.Yu.Shvedov, *Teor. Mat.Fiz.* **104** (1995) 310; **104** (1995) 479.
- [4] V.P.Maslov, *The Complex-WKB Method for Nonlinear Equations* (Moscow, Nauka, 1977).
- [5] K.Wilson, *Phys. Rev.* **D7** (1973) 2911; S.Coleman, R.Jackiw and H.Politzer, *Phys. Rev.***D10** (1974) 2491
- [6] Yu.Makeenko, *Phys.Rev.***D50** (1994) 4137
- [7] A.Jevicki and H.Levine, *Ann.Phys.***136** (1981) 113
- [8] F. Cooper and E.Mottola, *Phys.Rev.***D40** (1989) 456